

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
WWW.USPlo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/045,272	10/25/2001	Keimpe Jan Van Den Berg	AC02833US 4450	
7	590 05/06/2005		EXAM	INER
Joan M. McG Akzo Nobel In		SERGENT, RABON A		
7 Livingstone	·= ·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dobbs Ferry, NY 10522			17)1	
•			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X	
P	ML

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/045,272	VAN DEN BERG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	J	
Rabon Sergent	1711		

Potoro the Eiling of an Annual Priof			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rabon Sergent	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 14 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE				
<ul> <li>THE REPLY FILED 14 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.</li> <li>1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> </ul>						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date						
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be						
AMENDMENTS	be filed within the time period set it	,	a). ·			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	. ,,					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
7. To rpurposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wvided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-6,10,11 and 13-15</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>8</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>o</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>9,12,16 and 18</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	is necessary			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. M. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the condition in an eliteration for all constants.						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:		011				

Rabon Sergent Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1711

Continuation of 3.: The proposed amendment to claim 18 raises issues of indefiniteness under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. Specifically, it is unclear how to interpret "either" since only one provision or selection is set forth within (1). The word, "either", does not pertain to (2) since "either" appears after (1). Applicants' remarks are silent regarding the meaning or intent of this language.

Continuation of 11.: Applicants' arguments are based upon amendments that will not be entered.

RABON SERGENT
PRIMARY EXAMINER